NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

IN RE: RONALD A. KATZ TECHNOLOGY LICENSING, L.P.,

Appellant

2015-1170

Appeal from the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, in No. 90/012,036.

JUDGMENT

LOWELL D. MEAD, Cooley LLP, Palo Alto, CA, argued for appellant. Also represented by LORI R. MASON; FRANK V. PIETRANTONIO, Reston, VA.

ROBERT MCBRIDE, Office of the Solicitor, United States Patent and Trademark Office, Alexandria, VA, argued for appellee Michelle K. Lee. Also represented by NATHAN K. KELLEY, THOMAS W. KRAUSE, STACY BETH MARGOLIES.

THIS CAUSE having been heard and considered, it is

ORDERED and ADJUDGED:

PER CURIAM (PROST, $Chief\ Judge$, CLEVENGER and HUGHES, $Circuit\ Judges$).

AFFIRMED. See Fed. Cir. R. 36.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

September 15, 2015 Date /s/ Daniel E. O'Toole Daniel E. O'Toole Clerk of Court